

**CANVASS OF VOTES CAST**

**AT THE ELECTION**

**HELD ON**

**August 7, 2007**

**OF VASSAR TOWNSHIP**

**TUSCOLA COUNTY, MICHIGAN**

**MARGIE WHITE-CORMIER, TUSCOLA COUNTY CLERK**

VassarTwpRecall\_E

August 7, 2007

Precinct Report

Tuscola County

Official Results

**VASSAR TOWNSHIP PRECINCT 1**

**VASSAR TOWNSHIP PRECINCT 2**

<b>Registration &amp; Turnout</b>				1,906	<b>Registration &amp; Turnout</b>				1,219
Polling Place Turnout	268	14.06%			Polling Place Turnout	256	21.00%		
Election Day Manual Entry Turnout	0	0.00%			Election Day Manual Entry Turnout	0	0.00%		
	<b>Total...</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>14.06%</b>			<b>Total...</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>21.00%</b>	
<b>Kime Recall</b>				(Final)	<b>Kime Recall</b>				(Final)
YES	179	66.79%			YES	174	68.50%		
NO	89	33.21%			NO	80	31.50%		
	<b>Total...</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>100.00%</b>			<b>Total...</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	
<b>Markhart Recall</b>				(Final)	<b>Markhart Recall</b>				(Final)
YES	184	68.91%			YES	182	71.37%		
NO	83	31.09%			NO	73	28.63%		
	<b>Total...</b>	<b>267</b>	<b>100.00%</b>			<b>Total...</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	
<b>Huyghe Recall</b>				(Final)	<b>Huyghe Recall</b>				(Final)
YES	175	65.54%			YES	165	65.48%		
NO	92	34.46%			NO	87	34.52%		
	<b>Total...</b>	<b>267</b>	<b>100.00%</b>			<b>Total...</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	

VassarTwpRecall\_E

August 7, 2007

Summary Report

Tuscola County

Official Results

<b>Registration &amp; Turnout</b>	3,125	Voters
<b>Polling Place Turnout</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>16.77%</b>
Election Day Manual Entry Turnout	0	0.00%
<b>Total ...</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>16.77%</b>
<b>Kime Recall</b>	2/2	100.00%
<b>YES</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>67.62%</b>
NO	169	32.38%
<b>Total ...</b>	<b>522</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<b>Markhart Recall</b>	2/2	100.00%
<b>YES</b>	<b>366</b>	<b>70.11%</b>
NO	156	29.89%
<b>Total ...</b>	<b>522</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<b>Huyghe Recall</b>	2/2	100.00%
<b>YES</b>	<b>340</b>	<b>65.51%</b>
NO	179	34.49%
<b>Total ...</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

## CERTIFICATE OF DETERMINATION

STATE OF MICHIGAN,  
County of Tuscola

The Board of Canvassers of the County of Tuscola having Ascertained and Canvassed the Votes of **Vassar Township** at the Recall Election held on August 7, 2007.

Do HEREBY DETERMINE: that Russell Kime be recalled

Russell Kime

Supervisor Russell Kime has an agenda against business growth in Vassar Township. At a joint meeting at Vassar City Hall held June 29, 2006, Supervisor Kime state that he was not interested in promoting new business. This statement is in the minutes. He supported a Township wide re-appraisal, not necessary as it was not state mandated. He is in violation of the Open Meetings Act, as he frequently comments at Planning Commission Meetings when two or more other Board Members are present.

Response by Russell Kime:

As Supervisor of Vassar Township, I have done my level best to work for the benefit of the entire Township. This recall has been organized by certain ex-Township officials and those with special interests. I am not opposed to business growth in Vassar Township, and I have not violated the Open Meetings Act. The Township reappraisal was required by State Tax Commission rules and did not result in increased tax billings for residents.

Shall Russell Kime be recalled?

Do HEREBY DETERMINE: that Elaine Markhart be recalled

Elaine Markhart

Clerk Markhart follows her own agenda, mainly of no growth in the Township. She dispenses false information on buildable lots and disregards the fact they were Grandfathered. She wasted taxpayer dollars to investigate Matt Closkey's land splits when he was Land Division Officer. She revealed at the Citizens Annual Meeting that she was working toward a new Township Hall, all this before all the roads are paved in the Township. She supported a Township wide re-appraisal, not necessary as it was not state mandated. She is in violation of the Open Meetings Act as the Board Minutes are almost never ready on time, and they are improperly written.

Response by Elaine Markhart:

In serving as a member of the Vassar Township Board, I have done my very best to represent all the citizens of Vassar Township and carry out the duties of Township Clerk. The recall allegations are untrue. My only agenda is to benefit the Township. I have never intentionally dispensed and false information nor have I violated the Open Meetings Act. The billings of residents were not increased by the reappraisal. My Township Board Minutes are prepared within the time required by law and are properly written.

Shall Elaine Markhart be recalled?

Do HEREBY DETERMINE: that Fran Huyghe be recalled

Frances Huyghe

Trustee Frances Huyghe voted in favor of a Road Frontage change, after a Public Hearing at which the majority of the residents who showed up were in favor of the change. At the Township Board she then changed her vote. While this is completely legal, ethically it appeared suspect. She revealed at the Citizen's Annual Meeting that she was working toward a new Township Hall, all this before the roads are paved in the Township. She supported a Township wide re-appraisal, not necessary as it was not state mandated.

Response by Fran Huyghe:

I have always worked for the benefit of Vassar Township while serving as a Township Trustee. My votes on the Planning Commission and the Township Board are intended to represent all of the people of Vassar Township, not merely those with special interests. I do not support a new Township Hall unless it can be funded with existing tax revenue and unless all other necessary Township needs are met first. Lastly, the Township-wide reappraisal was required by State Tax Commission regulations. The reappraisal did not result in increased tax bills for any Township taxpayers.

Shall Fran Huyghe be recalled?

In Witness Whereof, We have hereunto set our hands and affixed the Seal of the County of Tuscola this 8th day of August, 2007

Attest:

  
*Margie M. Corneier*  
Clerk-Board of Canvassers

*John W. Hunter*  
*Shelley Hardy*  
*Carl F. Jewett*  
Board of Canvassers

VassarTwpRecall\_E

August 7, 2007

Subdivision Report

Tuscola County

Official Results

State			County		
Registration & Turnout			Registration & Turnout		
	3,125			3,125	
Polling Place Turnout	524	16.77%	Polling Place Turnout	524	16.77%
Election Day Manual Entry Turnout	0	0.00%	Election Day Manual Entry Turnout	0	0.00%
Total..	524	16.77%	Total..	524	16.77%
<b>Total...</b>	<b>1,048</b>	<b>33.54%</b>	<b>Total...</b>	<b>1,048</b>	<b>33.54%</b>
<b>Kime Recall</b>			<b>Kime Recall</b>		
	2/2	100.00%		2/2	100.00%
YES	353	67.62%	YES	353	67.62%
NO	169	32.38%	NO	169	32.38%
<b>Total...</b>	<b>522</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>Total...</b>	<b>522</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<b>Markhart Recall</b>			<b>Markhart Recall</b>		
	2/2	100.00%		2/2	100.00%
YES	366	70.11%	YES	366	70.11%
NO	156	29.89%	NO	156	29.89%
<b>Total...</b>	<b>522</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>Total...</b>	<b>522</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<b>Huyghe Recall</b>			<b>Huyghe Recall</b>		
	2/2	100.00%		2/2	100.00%
YES	340	65.51%	YES	340	65.51%
NO	179	34.49%	NO	179	34.49%
<b>Total...</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>Total...</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>100.00%</b>